FILED

MARK BRNOVICH Attorney General (Firm State Bar No. 14000)

2018 DEC 20 AM 11: 31

MARY ELLEN DUNLAP CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

TBY______OEPUTY

TODD C. LAWSON

Arizona State Bar #020216 Assistant Attorney General

Fraud & Special Prosecutions Section

| 2005 North Central Avenue | Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1592

(602) 542-3881/Fax (602) 542-5997

Email: crmfraud@azag.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff

8

7

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13 ||

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID ALAN HUNT,

Defendant.

No. S0200 CR 2018 00725

STATE'S ALLEGATION OF AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 13-701 (D)

Assigned to the Hon. Wallace R. Hoggatt Division 3

The State of Arizona, pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-701 (D) and *Blakely v. Washington*, 124 S.Ct. 2531 (2004), gives notice that the following aggravating circumstances may be present in this case, and requests a jury determination of whether an aggravating circumstance or aggravating circumstances exist.

- 1. Infliction or threatened infliction of serious physical injury, except if this circumstance is an essential element of the offense of conviction or has been utilized to enhance the range of punishment under A.R.S. § 13-604.
- 2. Use, threatened use or possession of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument during the commission of the crime,

- 11			
1			except if this circumstance is an essential element of the
2			offense of conviction or has been utilized to enhance the range
3			of punishment under A.R.S. § 13-604.
4		3.	If the offense involves the taking of or damage to property, the
5			value of the property so taken or damaged.
6	_XXX_	4.	Presence of an accomplice.
7		5.	Especially heinous, cruel or depraved manner in which the
8			offense was committed.
9	XXX_	6.	The Defendant committed the offense as consideration for the
10			receipt, or in the expectation of the receipt, of anything of
11			pecuniary value.
12	XXX_	7.	The Defendant procured the commission of the offense by
13			payment, or promise of payment, of anything of pecuniary
14			value.
15		_ 8.	At the time of the commission of the offense, the Defendant
16			was a public servant and the offense involved conduct directly
17			related to the Defendant's office or employment.
18		_ 9	. The victim or, if the victim has died as a result of the conduct
19			of the Defendant, the victim's immediate family suffered
20			physical, emotional or financial harm.
21	-	10	. During the course of the commission of the offense, the death of
22			an unborn child at any stage of its development occurred.
23	8	. 11	. The Defendant was previously convicted of a felony within the
24			ten years immediately preceding the date of the offense. A
25	5		conviction outside the jurisdiction of this state for an offense
26	3		that if committed in this state would be punishable as a felony
			의 하늘다. 그 사이의 어떻게 되어 함께서 가게 하다 하나 사람이 살아가 되어 가게 되었다며 하네요? 사람이 없다.

1		is a felony conviction for the purposes of this paragraph.
2	12.	The Defendant was wearing body armor as defined in A.R.S. §
3		13-3116.
4	13.	The victim of the offense is at least sixty-five years of age or is
5		a disabled person as defined by A.R.S. § 38-492.
6	14.	The Defendant was appointed pursuant to title 14 as a
7		fiduciary and the offense involved conduct directly related to
8		the defendant's duties to the victim as fiduciary.
9	15.	Evidence that the Defendant committed the crime out of malice
10		toward a victim because of the victim's identity in a group
11		listed in A.R.S. § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3 or
12		because of the Defendant's perception of the victim's identity in
13		a group listed in A.R.S. § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3.
14	16.	The Defendant was convicted of a violation of A.R.S. §§
15		13-1102, 13-1103, 13-1104, subsection A, paragraph 3 or
16		13-1204, subsection A, paragraph 1 or 2 arising from an act
17		that was committed while driving a motor vehicle and the
18		Defendant's alcohol concentration at the time of committing
19		the offense was 0.15 or more. For the purposes of this
20		paragraph, "alcohol concentration" has the same meaning
21		prescribed in A.R.S. § 28-101.
22	17.	Lying in wait for the victim or ambushing the victim during
23		the commission of any felony.
24	18.	The offense was committed in the presence of a child and any
25		of the circumstances exist that are set forth in A.R.S. §
26		13-3601, subsection A.

1	19.	The offense was committed in retaliation for a victim's either
2		reporting criminal activity or being involved in an
3		organization, other than a law enforcement agency, that is
4		established for the purpose of reporting or preventing criminal
5		activity.
6	20.	The Defendant was impersonating a peace officer as defined in
7		A.R.S. § 1-215.
8	21.	The Defendant was in violation of 8 United States Code §§
9		1323, 1324, 1325, 1326 or 1328 at the time of the commission of
10		the offense.
11	22.	The Defendant used a remote stun gun or an authorized
12		remote stun gun in the commission of the offense. For the
13		purposes of this paragraph:
14		(a) "Authorized remote stun gun" means a remote stun gun
15		that has all of the following:
16		I. An electrical discharge that is less than one
17		hundred thousand volts and less than nine joules
18		of energy per pulse.
19		II. A serial or identification number on all projectiles
20		that are discharged from the remote stun gun.
21		III. An identification and tracking system that, on
22		deployment of remote electrodes, disperses coded
23		material that is traceable to the purchaser
24		through records that are kept by the
25		manufacturer on all remote stun guns and all
26		individual cartridges sold.

- 11		
1		IV. A training program that is offered by the
$2 \parallel$		manufacturer.
3		(b) "Remote stun gun" means an electronic device that
4		emits an electrical charge and that is designed and
5		primarily employed to incapacitate a person or animal
6		either through contact with electrodes on the device
7		itself or remotely through wired probes that are
8		attached to the device or through a spark, plasma,
9		ionization or other conductive means emitting from the
10		device.
11	23.	During or immediately following the commission of the offense,
$12 \parallel$		the Defendant committed a violation of either A.R.S. §§ 28-661,
13		28-662 or 28-663.
$14 \parallel$	24.	The defendant was convicted of a violation of section 13-1307 or
$15 \parallel$		13-1308 and the defendant recruited, enticed or obtained the
16		victim from a shelter that is designed to serve runaway youth,
17		foster children, homeless persons or victims of human
18		trafficking, domestic violence or sexual assault.
19	25.	The defendant was convicted of a violation of A.R.S. §13-1204
20		and there is evidence that the defendant committed the crime
21		out of malice toward a victim because of the victim's
22		employment as a peace officer.
23	26.	During or immediately following the commission of the offense,
24		the defendant used a mask or other disguise to obscure the
25		defendant's face to avoid identification.
26	27.	Any other factor that the state alleges is relevant to the
2 1/2		

of the Defendant or the nature and circumstances of the crime and weigh them against mitigating circumstances. State v. Martinez, 210 Ariz. 578, ¶ 26 (2005). RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2018. MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division 22 23 24 25	1	Defendant's character or background or to the nature or
If the jurors find the Defendant guilty of the criminal charge, then they shall also try whether the sentencing allegation is true. Ariz. R. Crim. P.19.1(c)(2)(B). If the jurors find that at least one aggravating circumstance exists beyond a reasonable doubt, this Court, in determining the appropriate sentence, may then consider in aggravation any fact relating to the character of the Defendant or the nature and circumstances of the crime and weigh them against mitigating circumstances. State v. Martinez, 210 Ariz. 578, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2018. MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division	2	circumstances of the crime.
If the jurors find the Defendant guilty of the criminal charge, then they shall also try whether the sentencing allegation is true. Ariz. R. Crim. P.19.1(c)(2)(B). If the jurors find that at least one aggravating circumstance exists beyond a reasonable doubt, this Court, in determining the appropriate sentence, may then consider in aggravation any fact relating to the character of the Defendant or the nature and circumstances of the crime and weigh them against mitigating circumstances. State v. Martinez, 210 Ariz. 578, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2018. MARK BRNOVICH ATTOBNEY GENERAL TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division	3	
If the jurors find the Defendant guilty of the criminal charge, then they shall also try whether the sentencing allegation is true. Ariz. R. Crim. P.19.1(c)(2)(B). If the jurors find that at least one aggravating circumstance exists beyond a reasonable doubt, this Court, in determining the appropriate sentence, may then consider in aggravation any fact relating to the character of the Defendant or the nature and circumstances of the crime and weigh them against mitigating circumstances. State v. Martinez, 210 Ariz. 578, \$\grace{1}{2}\$ 26 (2005). RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2018. MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division 21 22 23	4	
Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division 21 22 23	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they shall also try whether the sentencing allegation is true. Ariz. R. Crim. P.19.1(c)(2)(B). If the jurors find that at least one aggravating circumstance exists beyond a reasonable doubt, this Court, in determining the appropriate sentence, may then consider in aggravation any fact relating to the character of the Defendant or the nature and circumstances of the crime and weigh them against mitigating circumstances. State v. Martinez, 210 Ariz. 578, ¶ 26 (2005). RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2018. MARK BRNOVICH
20 21 22 23 24 25	18	TODD C. LAWSON Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division
23 ···· 24 25	20	
25		•••

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 19th day of December, 2018, with:
2	and 15 day of December, 2018, with.
3	Clerk of the Superior Court 100 Quality Hill Road Bisbee, Arizona 85603
4	
5	COPY of the foregoing delivered this 19 th day of December, 2018, to:
6	The Honorable James L. Conlogue
7	Cochise County Superior Court P.O. Drawer CJ Pichae Anigone 85603
8	Bisbee, Arizona 85603
9	COPY of the foregoing mailed this 19 th day of December, 2018, to:
10	Joseph P. DiRoberto, Esq.
11	1795 East Camino Cielo
11	Tucson, Arizona 85718 Attorney for Defendant David Alan Hunt (CR 2018-00725)
12	lla Cara
13	PHX-#7549658-v1-701_001
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

-